

ANNUAL REPORT TO NC-140

2014 'Honeycrisp' Rootstock Trials – Report for 2018 data

November 13-14, 2018 – Geneva, NY

John A. Cline, University of Guelph jcline@uoguelph.ca

2018 was the fifth year of the 2014 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Trials. Rootstocks included in this experiment are listed below. All data presented in this report were collected in 2018 and analyzed by the data coordinator. All cooperators submitted data except

An Excel data template worksheet was provided to all cooperators to submit data. This generally worked well, however there were some data issues at some sites. Please use the Excel data template when submitting data -- a new worksheet template will be provided each year. Participants are encouraged to review their data and make sure that all measurements are in the units requested. Include only those data requested in the protocol – which is provided in addition to the data template.

Summary of Data Submission for 2019 Data

1. Review the data protocol located on the NC-140 website
2. Be sure to correct any errors in the data structure (treatments, reps) communicated by the data coordinator to you in 2019.
3. Submit only the data requested using the Excel data template worksheet, which can be found on the NC-140 website
4. Submit **only** data collected in **2019** (not prior years) and use the correct units using the data template provided for 2019 (see website).

NC 140 Accomplishments Report Statement

2014 NC-140 Apple Rootstock Planting

The 2014 Apple rootstock planting was established in 15 locations in the United States (AL, ID, IN, MA, ME, MI, MN, GA, NJ, NY, PA, SC, UT, VA, WA, WI), two in Canada, and one in Mexico (<http://bit.ly/1zv3wCc>). The trial consists of the following rootstocks: B.10, G.11, G.202, G.214, G.30, G.41, G.5890, G.935, G.969, M.26 EMLA, M.9 T337, V.1, V.5, V.6, and V.7. Trial coordination and data analyse are being coordinated by John Cline. Trees were planted to a 'tall spindle' systems at a 4 x 12 ft spacing. Trees are planted in a randomized block design with single trees serving as experimental units. There are 10 replicates of each treatment. Each site selected their own pollinizer varieties. The trees were propagated by Willow Drive Nursery, WA and planted in the spring of 2014.

Data protocols have been established and made available to study participants each year the data that has been collected is summarized below.

Key findings:

Measurement	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
1) initial trunk diameter measured at planting 30cm above graft union	X					
2) number of side branches >10 cm at planting	X					
3) trunk circumference in the fall	X	X	X	X	X	X
4) height of the graft union above soil;	X					
5) tree status at the end of the growing season	X	X	X	X	X	X
6) date of full bloom		X	X	X	X	X
7) date of harvest		X	X	X	X	X
8) total yield per tree		X	X	X	X	X
9) flower clusters per tree	X					
10) total number of fruit per tree		X	X	X	X	X
11) total number of rootstock suckers per tree		X	X	X	X	X
12) tree height in the fall					X	
13) tree spread in the fall (in-row and perpendicular to the row)					X	

Figure 1. Location of participants of the 2014 NC-140 Apple rootstock planting evaluation of 'Aztec Fuji' (red) and 'Honeycrisp' (teal) in Canada, the United States, and Mexico. Map updated as of Nov 10, 2014 (not all participants provided gps coordinates). For an updated interactive map visit <http://bit.ly/1zv3wCc>



Important points to discuss at the 2019 NC-140 annual meeting

- Protocol and data to collect in 2019
- We are missing site details for several planting (see table below)
- All sites submitted their 2018 data
- 5-year manuscript (2014-2018 data) in progress

Table x. Cooperators in the 2014 NC-140 Honeycrisp apple planting

Location	Name	Affiliation	Longitude	Latitude	Soil type	Irrigated ?
(CH) Cuauhtémoc, Chihuahua, Mexico	Rafael A. Parra-Quezada.	Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua	106° 58' 58" W	28° 28' 32" N	Clay loam	yes
(ID) Idaho	Essie Fallahi	University of Idaho				
(MA) Blecherton, MA	J. Clements, W. Autio	University of Massachusetts	Lat. 42 15 14 N	Long. 72 21 37 W	Sandy loam	yes
(ME) Monmouth, Maine	Renae E. Moran	University of Maine	77°04'4.8" W	44° 13' 50" W	Sandy loam	Yes
(MI) Traverse Cite, MI	T. Einhorn	Michigan State University				
(NJ) Pittstown, New Jersey	Megan F. Muehlbauer	Rutgers University	43° 33' 38" N	74° 57' 24" W	Silt Loam	yes
(NY) Geneva, NY	Terence Robinson, Jaime Lordan, Poliana Francescato	Cornell University	42°51'44.7" N	77°01'48" W	Silt Loam	yes
(ONB) Blenheim, Ontario	John Zandstra	University of Guelph	42°14' 58" N	82° 1' 4" W	Gravelly loam	yes
(ONS) Simcoe, Ontario	John Cline	University of Guelph	42°51' 37" N	80° 16' 18" W	Sandy loam	yes
(PA) Rock Springs, PA	R. Crassweller	PennState University	77°57' 22" W	40° 42' 44" N	Silt Loam	
(VA) Piney River, VA	Sherif M. Sherif	Virgina Tech				
(WA) Wenatchee, WA	Stefano Musacchi	Washington State University	120°03'59.6"W	47°18'35.4"N	Silt Loam	yes
(WI) Sturgeon Bay, WI	Matt Stasiak	University of Wisconsin				
(MN) Chanhassen, MN	E. Hoover	University of Minnesota	44° 51' 42.84" N	93°36'54.72" W	Loam	Yes

2018 'HONEYCRISP' DATA

Table 1. Growth of 'Honeycrisp' trees, as indicated by trunk cross-sectional area, as of 2018 from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean													
B.10						6.2	de		12.5	e	10.4	def		15.8	abc		10.5	ef		13.5	def	11.5							
G.11			7.5	ef	7.0	de	10.9	bc	5.3	de	9.4	d	10.7	e	8.3	f	9.2	d	8.2	gh		9.9	f	9.5	f	11.8	ef	9.0	
G.202	10.0	c ^z	6.5	f	5.5	e	7.7	c	5.0	e	9.0	d	9.4	e	9.6	def	9.0	d	6.2	h		11.1	ef	12.1	ef	9.0	f	8.5	
G.214									7.9	cd	9.9	d	14.4	e	12.5	de			13.4	cde		14.2	de	12.4	ef	15.7	de	12.5	
G.30	34.5	a	16.2	c	16.7	a	18.4	a	11.9	b	12.7	cd	25.7	cd	18.8	b	15.4	b	18.1	a		18.5	bc	20.1	a-e	23.3	bc	19.3	
G.41			9.6	def			11.2	bc	6.7	de	10.9	d	12.8	e	9.8	def	8.2	d	9.0	e-h		9.5	f	9.9	f	12.8	ef	10.0	
G.5890			21.2	a							22.0	a			23.7	a					23.1	b		20.3	a-d	26.8	ab	22.8	
G.935			9.6	def	7.9	de			7.6	cde	10.2	d	17.0	de	8.6	ef	14.2	bc	7.5	gh		10.2	ef	13.2	def	14.3	def	10.9	
G.969	16.2	bc	12.1	d	9.8	cd	10.5	bc	6.1	de	12.1	cd	25.7	cd	11.3	def	12.4	bcd	10.9	d-g	15.3	c	15.3	cd	12.0	c-f	14.9	def	13.2
M.26 EMLA	13.4	bc	10.3	de	8.4	de	8.4	c	7.1	cde	10.5	d	18.7	de	11.2	def	14.6	bc	12.6	c-f	15.3	c	11.9	def	12.2	ef	14.0	def	12.0
M.7																			12.7	c-f								12.7	
M.9 T337			6.9	f			9.7	bc	5.9	de	9.2	d	14.9	e	7.4	f	10.3	cd	9.1	fgh	11.8	c	10.7	ef	9.3	f	9.8	ef	9.6
MM.106																			13.7	bcd								13.7	
V.1	23.0	ab	12.5	d	12.4	bc			9.8	bc	16.0	bc	33.5	bc	13.8	cd					22.2	b	19.6	b	19.3	b-e	19.2	cd	18.3
V.5			17.1	bc	17.4	a			15.4	a	23.0	a	40.6	ab	21.5	ab	24.4	a	18.6	a	25.1	ab	19.8	ab	27.5	a	31.4	a	23.5
V.6			19.6	ab			14.1	ab	10.7	b	18.5	ab	49.7	a	17.7	bc	23.1	a	18.3	a	28.6	a	23.7	a	22.1	abc	28.1	ab	22.8
V.7			17.0	bc	14.0	ab			11.7	b	18.3	ab	43.0	ab	19.7	ab	23.2	a	18.3	ab	21.7	b	20.1	ab	24.7	ab	24.3	bc	21.3
Mean	19.4	ND	12.8		11.0		11.4		8.4		13.7		23.5		13.6		14.9		12.8		20.4		14.6		16.0		17.9		14.8
P-value	<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 2. Percent survival of 'Honeycrisp' trees as of 2018 from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean
B.10						100		100 a	100		100		100		100	100
G.11			100	100	70	90	100 a ^z	100 a	100	100	100		90	100	100	96
G.202	100		100	100	88	80	90 a	100 a	90	100	100		90	100	100	95
G.214						100	90 a	100 a	100		100		100	100	100	99
G.30	100		100	90	50	100	100 a	100 a	100	88	100		90	100	100	94
G.41			90		70	90	100 a	100 a	90	90	80		90	100	90	90
G.5890			100				89 a		100			100		100	100	98
G.935			100	89		73	100 a	100 a	100	100	90		100	100	100	96
G.969	100		100	70	80	100	100 a	100 a	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	96
M.26 EMLA	100		100	100	78	89	100 a	100 a	100	100	100	100	89	100	100	97
M.7											100					100
M.9 T337			100		40	90	100 a	100 a	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	94
MM.106											90					90
V.1	100		100	100		90	100 a	100 a	90			100	100	100	100	98
V.5			100	100		89	100 a	100 a	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99
V.6			100		75	89	67 a	78 a	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	92
V.7			100	86		88	78 a	88 a	100	88	88	100	100	100	88	92
Mean	100	ND	99	93	69	90	94	98	98	97	97	100	96	100	99	96
P-value			0.4968	0.1833	0.3702	0.7576	0.0327	0.0355	0.6482	0.5376	0.2740		0.7912		0.4083	

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 3. Cumulative number of rootstock suckers (2015-2018) from 'Honeycrisp' trees from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean										
B.10		0.0				0.0	b	0.1	0.0	b	0.0	b	1.2	bc	0.2	b	0.2									
G.11		0.0	1.1	d	0.1	0.0	a	0.0	b	1.1	0.4	0.0	b	0.0	0.0	b	0.4									
G.202	0.4	b ^z	0.1	0.8	d	0.0	0.0	a	0.0	b	0.1	1.3	0.0	b	0.2	0.0	b	3.8	abc	0.1	ab	1.9	b	0.6		
G.214			0.2	12.4	bc	0.0					0.0	b	2.0	b				7.9	ab	2.8	ab	6.9	a	3.8		
G.30	13.4	a	0.4	22.6	a	0.3	1.7	a	0.0	b	0.7	7.6	0.3	ab	0.2	0.2	b	5.4	abc	2.7	ab	1.0	b	4.0		
G.41			0.0	2.2	d		0.0	a	0.0	b	0.3	0.9	0.0	b	0.1	0.1	b	2.5	bc	1.7	ab	0.5	b	0.7		
G.5890			0.7	15.4	ab						2.6		1.0	a				18.2	a	6.3	a	1.8	b	6.6		
G.935			0.1	6.0	cd	0.0			0.6	a	0.4	7.3	0.2	ab	0.1	0.0	b	10.1	a	5.7	ab	3.5	ab	2.8		
G.969	2.5	ab	0.3	3.1	cd	0.3	3.1	a	0.1	ab	0.5	4.6	0.1	b	0.0	0.1	b	3.8	b	3.4	abc	3.6	ab	0.1	b	1.7
M.26 EMLA	0.3	b	0.3	4.0	d	0.3	0.8	a	0.0	b	1.0	6.2	0.0	b	0.2	0.0	b	1.5	b	0.6	c	0.0	b	1.5	b	1.1
M.7													7.0	a											7.0	
M.9 T337			0.2	5.9	cd		0.6	a	0.0	b	2.4	5.3	0.0	b	0.1	0.1	b	5.1	b	7.0	abc	1.6	ab	0.7	b	2.2
MM.106													0.0	b												0.0
V.1	0.6	b	0.3	2.5	d	0.0			0.1	ab	0.3	5.0	0.1	b				7.2	ab	1.1	bc	1.5	ab	1.0	b	1.6
V.5			0.4	2.4	d	0.0			0.0	b	0.2	3.3	0.1	b	0.2	0.1	b	10.0	ab	1.9	bc	1.9	ab	0.1	b	1.6
V.6			0.1	3.1	d		3.7	a	0.0	b	0.2	5.9	0.1	b	0.6	0.0	b	4.0	b	1.4	bc	2.1	ab	0.2	b	1.6
V.7			0.5	4.7	cd	0.3			0.0	b	0.3	4.6	0.6	ab	0.6	0.3	b	9.5	ab	1.6	bc	1.0	ab	0.4	b	1.9
Mean	3.4			6.2		0.1	1.2		0.1		0.9	4.2	0.2		0.2	0.7		7.4		3.4		2.2		1.4		2.2
P-value	0.0141	0.7780	<0.0001	0.4451	0.0081	0.0445	0.2338	0.0697	0.0028	0.7349	<0.0001	0.0023	<0.0001	0.0258	<0.0001											

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 4. Cumulative yield (2015-2018; kg/tree) of 'Honeycrisp' trees from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean
B.10		7.3 bc				18.1 cde		19.1 ab	38.6 b-f		9.5 ab		9.5 abc		37.4 fgh	19.9
G.11		4.9 bc	19.5 def	20.5 bc	5.8 ab	19.5 cde	17.9 b-e	19.4 ab	34.8 c-f	25.1 abc	5.8 b		7.3 c	22.8 bc	36.7 gh	18.5
G.202	21.6 c ^z	2.1 bc	11.1 f	7.9 d	2.8 b	8.1 e	11.8 e	13.3 b	31.0 def	17.3 c	5.2 b		9.0 bc	20.4 c	26.1 h	13.4
G.214		6.3 bc	11.3 f	15.0 bcd		24.0 bcd	15.0 de	24.5 ab	36.4 c-f		8.3 ab		18.7 a	32.9 abc	39.0 e-h	21.0
G.30	55.4 a	7.5 bc	43.7 a	45.5 a	10.4 ab	33.1 ab	25.6 ab	27.5 a	53.6 ab	23.8 abc	7.0 ab		14.1 abc	41.4 ab	75.8 a	33.2
G.41		3.8 bc	20.4 def		2.8 b	15.0 cde	16.3 cde	20.5 ab	40.9 b-f	17.5 c	5.8 b		6.2 c	16.1 c	46.4 c-g	17.7
G.5890		15.0 a	39.4 abc				24.9 abc		64.4 a			79.3 a		51.4 a	72.5 ab	49.6
G.935		3.6 bc	22.8 de	22.1 b		15.9 cde	15.6 de	25.6 a	26.1 f	23.3 abc	6.9 ab		7.4 c	32.3 abc	52.2 c-f	21.1
G.969	42.2 ab	8.1 b	40.7 ab	24.3 b	10.5 a	21.3 cd	22.3 a-d	23.9 ab	47.7 a-d	18.8 bc	8.6 ab	61.2 bc	17.6 ab	18.3 bc	53.1 cde	27.9
M.26 EMLA	27.9 bc	5.0 bc	18.6 def	10.9 cd	7.2 ab	16.0 cde	14.6 de	21.2 ab	33.0 def	23.6 abc	5.8 b	29.1 e	6.8 c	20.0 c	31.5 gh	18.1
M.7											6.4 ab					6.4
M.9 T337		3.8 bc	17.8 ef		6.4 ab	14.0 de	12.7 e	20.1 ab	27.2 ef	20.6 bc	8.0 ab	39.8 de	9.2 bc	22.1 bc	38.3 e-h	18.5
MM.106											6.5 ab					6.5
V.1	37.2 b	1.5 c	26.0 de	15.5 bcd		22.4 bcd	21.1 a-d	22.1 ab	34.4 c-f			52.1 bcd	10.4 abc	34.4 abc	40.1 d-h	26.4
V.5		2.9 bc	27.8 cde	26.0 b		40.2 a	26.6 a	24.5 ab	46.7 bcd	34.0 a	10.6 a	47.1 cd	15.0 abc	44.5 a	54.7 cd	30.8
V.6		3.7 bc	29.7 bcd		5.9 ab	24.2 bcd	23.1 a-d	21.5 ab	44.1 b-e	28.7 ab	9.7 ab	66.2 ab	13.6 abc	33.9 abc	56.6 c	27.8
V.7		3.8 bc	27.0 cde	22.5 b		26.2 bc	19.4 a-e	16.5 ab	52.0 abc	34.3 a	7.1 ab	56.3 bc	14.4 abc	43.6 ab	58.5 bc	29.3
Mean	36.8	5.3	25.4	21.0	6.5	21.3	19.1	21.4	40.7	24.3	7.4	53.9	11.4	31.0	47.9	22.7
P-value	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0075	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0091	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0004	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 4. Cumulative yield efficiency (2015-2018; kg/tree/cm² TCSA^x 2018) of 'Honeycrisp' trees from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean													
B.10						3.0	abc	1.6	ab	3.8	abc	0.7	bcd	0.9	abc	2.8	b-e	2.1											
G.11			2.5	abc	3.0	a	0.5	bcd	3.7	a	2.0	ab	1.9	a	4.3	a	2.9	a	0.7	bcd	0.8	abc	2.3	ab	3.2	a-d	2.3		
G.202	2.2	ab ^z	1.7	cd	1.4	b	0.4	cd	1.5	d	1.3	ab	1.5	abc	3.3	a-d	2.1	b	0.9	ab	0.8	abc	1.7	b	2.8	b-e	1.6		
G.214									3.0	abc	1.6	ab	1.7	ab	3.0	a-d			0.6	bcd			1.3	a	2.7	a	2.5	de	2.1
G.30	1.8	ab	2.7	ab	2.8	a	0.7	a-d	2.8	abc	2.1	a	1.1	a-d	2.9	bcd	1.5	bc	0.4	d	0.8	abc	2.3	ab	3.3	a-d	2.0		
G.41			2.1	bcd			0.3	d	2.5	a-d	1.5	ab	1.7	ab	4.2	ab	2.2	b	0.8	abc			0.7	abc	1.6	ab	4.1	a	2.0
G.5890			1.9	bcd							1.2	ab			2.7	bcd					3.6	ab			2.5	ab	2.8	b-e	2.4
G.935			2.4	bcd	3.2	a			2.2	cd	1.5	ab	1.6	ab	3.1	a-d	1.7	bc	1.1	a			0.7	bc	2.5	ab	3.7	ab	2.2
G.969	2.6	a	3.4	a	3.0	a	1.2	a	3.5	ab	1.9	ab	1.0	bcd	4.3	a	1.5	bc	0.8	abc	4.0	a	1.2	ab	1.9	ab	3.6	abc	2.4
M.26 EMLA	2.1	ab	1.8	bcd	1.4	b	1.0	abc	2.3	bcd	1.6	ab	1.2	a-d	3.0	a-d	1.6	bc	0.5	d	1.9	c	0.5	bc	1.8	ab	2.3	de	1.6
M.7																			0.5	cd									0.5
M.9 T337			2.6	abc			1.2	ab	2.4	bcd	1.4	ab	1.5	abc	3.7	abc	2.0	bc	0.9	ab	3.4	ab	0.9	abc	2.6	ab	3.9	a	2.2
MM.106																			0.5	cd									0.5
V.1	1.7	b	2.1	bcd	1.2	b			2.3	bcd	1.4	ab	0.7	cd	2.5	cd					2.4	c	0.5	c	1.8	ab	2.2	e	1.7
V.5			1.6	cd	1.5	b			2.6	a-d	1.2	b	0.6	cd	2.3	d	1.4	bc	0.7	bcd	2.0	c	0.8	abc	1.6	b	1.8	e	1.5
V.6			1.5	d			0.4	cd	2.3	bcd	1.4	ab	0.5	d	2.7	bcd	1.3	c	0.5	cd	2.4	c	0.6	bc	1.7	ab	2.2	e	1.5
V.7			1.7	cd	1.9	b			2.3	bcd	1.1	b	0.4	d	2.7	cd	1.5	bc	0.6	bcd	2.6	bc	0.7	abc	1.8	ab	2.6	cde	1.6
Mean	2.1	ND	2.2		2.2		0.7		2.6		1.2		1.2		3.2		1.8		0.7		2.8		0.8		2.1		2.9		1.8
P-value	0.0286		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0019		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0009		0.0001		<0.0001		

^x Trunk cross-sectional area.

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 6. Fruit weight (g), averaged over all cropping years (2015-2018) for 'Honeycrisp' trees from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean												
B.10		323	ab			197	bc	308	269	a	207	abc	206	a	269	abc	254											
G.11		255	ab	265	ab	247	a	234	226	abc	163	a	257	296	a	289	211	abc	206	a	262	ab	253	a-d	243			
G.202	197	b ^z	270	ab	209	c	167	b	226	209	abc	142	ab	249	269	a	262	175	c	191	a	228	b	239	a-d	217		
G.214			259	ab	259	ab	224	a		257	a	102	b	239	273	a		232	ab	202	a	247	ab	216	d	228		
G.30	281	a	293	ab	263	ab	237	a	218	252	ab	147	a	270	296	a	264	240	a	191	a	278	a	265	abc	250		
G.41			288	ab	259	ab			220	260	a	151	a	271	294	a	265	210	abc	208	a	244	ab	265	abc	245		
G.5890			300	ab	280	a						154	a		299	a				261	a			270	ab	282	a	264
G.935			278	ab	233	bc	207	ab		253	ab	137	ab	252	251	a	270	187	c	214	a	263	ab	217	d	230		
G.969	192	b	261	ab	229	bc	210	ab	209	210	abc	149	a	282	268	a	258	198	abc	230	b	203	a	225	b	229	cd	223
M.26 EMLA	248	a	327	a	253	abc	213	a	216	216	abc	133	ab	298	296	a	302	209	abc	257	a	223	a	236	ab	277	ab	247
M.7																		196	bc								196	
M.9 T337			245	b	229	bc			217	194	c	149	a	289	272	a	281	206	abc	236	ab	224	a	244	ab	236	bcd	232
MM.106																		187	c								187	
V.1	242	a	283	ab	244	abc	216	a		214	abc	142	ab	271	277	a				238	ab	209	a	265	ab	251	a-d	238
V.5			258	ab	268	ab	255	a		263	a	149	a	284	303	a	274	214	abc	249	ab	227	a	264	ab	280	a	253
V.6			255	ab	280	a			204	243	abc	160	a	290	296	a	265	217	abc	256	ab	223	a	263	ab	275	ab	248
V.7			261	ab	258	ab	215	a		236	abc	160	a	255	295	a	281	216	abc	249	ab	222	a	253	ab	265	abc	244
Mean	232	277	252	219	218	231	145	272	284	274	207	247	211	253	255	235												
P-value	<0.0001	0.0131	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.6102	<0.0001	0.0032	0.0527	0.0393	0.0866	<0.0001	0.0037	0.0495	0.0048	<0.0001													

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 6. Tree height (m), as of 2018 for 'Honeycrisp' trees from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean												
B.10						2.5	e	2.8	d	3.0	cd	1.5	a-d	2.6	de	3.0	cde	2.6										
G.11			2.9	ef	2.4	d	2.3	ab	2.8	cde	2.5	bcd	2.9	cd	3.1	cd	3.0	1.4	cde	2.9	a-e	3.2	ab	2.9	e	2.7		
G.202	3.1	bc ^z	2.8	f	2.3	d	2.0	b	2.7	de	2.6	a-d	2.7	d	3.1	bcd	3.0	1.1	e	2.9	a-d	3.3	ab	2.9	e	2.7		
G.214								3.5	ab	2.5	bcd	3.4	abc	3.3	bc	1.5	a-d	3.2	a	3.3	ab	3.2	a-e	3.0				
G.30	3.9	a	3.5	abc	3.1	a	2.5	a	3.4	abc	2.6	abc	3.5	ab	3.5	ab	3.0	1.7	a	3.2	a	3.5	a	3.5	a	3.1		
G.41			3.1	c-f			2.2	ab	2.9	b-e	2.6	a-d	2.9	cd	3.1	bcd	3.0	1.3	de	2.7	b-e	3.1	ab	3.1	a-e	2.7		
G.5890			3.8	a					2.9	a			3.7	a								3.5	a	3.4	abc	3.5		
G.935			3.3	b-e	2.5	d			3.2	a-e	2.5	bcd	3.2	a-d	2.8	de	3.2	1.4	b-e	2.9	a-d	3.2	ab	2.8	e	2.8		
G.969	3.2	b	3.4	a-d	2.6	bcd	2.3	ab	2.9	b-e	2.8	ab	3.7	a	3.2	bcd	3.1	1.3	de	3.2	cd	3.1	a	3.3	ab	3.1	b-e	2.9
M.26 EMLA	2.5	c	2.9	ef	2.4	d	2.0	b	2.6	e	2.2	d	3.0	bcd	3.0	cd	3.0	1.5	a-d	3.0	d	2.5	e	2.9	b	2.8	e	2.6
M.7													1.4	a-d												1.4		
M.9 T337			2.7	f			2.2	ab	2.5	e	2.4	cd	3.0	bcd	2.6	e	3.0	1.3	de	2.9	d	2.6	cde	3.0	b	2.8	e	2.6
MM.106													1.3	de												1.3		
V.1	3.2	b	3.0	def	2.5	cd			2.8	cde	2.7	abc	3.4	abc	2.8	de				3.3	bcd	3.0	abc	3.6	a	3.0	de	3.0
V.5			3.6	ab	2.9	abc			3.7	a	2.8	ab	3.8	a	3.5	ab	3.1	1.6	ab	3.6	abc	3.1	ab	3.5	a	3.4	ab	3.2
V.6			3.7	ab			2.2	ab	3.4	a-d	2.8	ab	3.7	a	3.4	abc	3.1	1.6	abc	4.0	a	3.1	a	3.4	ab	3.3	a-d	3.1
V.7			3.6	abc	2.9	ab			3.4	a-d	2.7	abc	3.8	a	3.5	ab	3.4	1.6	a-d	3.5	bc	3.0	a-d	3.6	a	3.1	a-e	3.2
Mean	3.2	ND	3.3		2.6		2.2		3.0		2.6		3.3		3.2		3.1	1.4		3.4		2.9		3.3		3.1	2.7	
P-value	<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0005		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0834		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001	

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.

Table 6. Tree width (m), as of 2018 for 'Honeycrisp' trees from the NC-140 apple rootstock trial planted in 2014 at 14 locations.

Rootstock ^y	ID	IN	MA	ME	MEX	MI	MN	NJ	NY	ON-R	ON-S	PA	VA	WA	WI	Mean															
B.10						1.1	d-h	1.2	ef	1.8	bcd	2.3	abc	1.6	f	1.9	bcd	1.6													
G.11			1.7	cd	1.7	ab	1.3	ab	1.1	e-h	1.8	ab	1.2	c-f	2.0	abc	1.5	c	2.2	bcd	1.8	c-f	0.9	de	1.9	cd	1.6				
G.202	1.0	bc ^z	1.5	d	1.3	c	1.0	b	1.1	gh	1.5	b	1.1	f	2.1	abc	1.7	abc	1.9	d	1.8	def	1.0	cde	1.6	d	1.4				
G.214									1.4	b-f	1.5	b	1.4	a-e	2.1	abc			2.5	ab	2.2	abc	1.1	cde	2.0	abc	1.8				
G.30	1.6	a	2.1	abc	2.2	a	1.4	a	1.7	a	1.8	ab	1.3	b-f	2.3	ab	1.8	abc	2.5	a	2.3	ab	1.2	abc	2.3	a	1.9				
G.41			1.9	bcd			1.2	ab	1.2	d-h	1.7	ab	1.4	a-e	1.9	abc	1.5	c	2.3	abc			1.8	c-f	1.0	cde	2.0	abc	1.6		
G.5890			2.5	a						1.9	a		2.4	a					2.4	a			2.4	a	1.2	abc	2.3	a	2.1		
G.935			1.8	bcd	1.6	bc			1.5	a-e	1.6	ab	1.5	abc	1.8	bcd	1.6	c	2.0	cd			2.0	b-e	1.1	b-e	1.9	bcd	1.7		
G.969	1.2	b	2.1	abc	1.7	ab	1.3	ab	1.1	fgh	1.8	ab	1.5	a-d	1.8	bc	1.8	abc	2.2	bcd	1.9	bc	2.0	a-e	0.9	cde	2.0	abc	1.7		
M.26 EMLA	0.9	c	1.8	cd	1.3	bc	1.1	b	1.1	e-h	1.6	ab	1.2	def	1.7	cd	1.6	bc	2.1	cd	1.7	c	1.7	ef	1.0	cde	1.8	cd	1.5		
M.7																			2.2	bcd									2.2		
M.9 T337			1.5	d			1.2	ab	0.9	h	1.5	b	1.3	b-f	1.3	d	1.6	c	2.1	cd	1.7	c	1.6	f	0.9	e	1.8	cd	1.5		
MM.106																			2.1	cd									2.1		
V.1	1.2	b	1.8	cd	1.4	bc			1.3	c-g	1.7	ab	1.5	a-d	1.8	cd					2.0	bc	2.1	a-d	1.1	a-d	1.9	bcd	1.6		
V.5			2.1	abc	1.8	ab			1.7	ab	1.8	ab	1.6	a	2.2	abc	2.0	a	2.5	a	2.3	a	2.3	ab	1.3	ab	2.3	a	2.0		
V.6			2.1	abc			1.2	ab	1.5	abc	1.8	ab	1.6	ab	2.2	abc	2.0	ab	2.4	ab	2.3	a	2.3	a	2.3	a	1.3	a	2.3	ab	1.9
V.7			2.3	ab	1.7	ab			1.5	a-d	1.9	a	1.7	a	2.2	abc	2.0	a	2.5	ab	2.2	ab	2.1	a-d	1.2	abc	2.1	abc	1.9		
Mean	1.2	ND	1.9		1.6		1.2		1.3		1.7		1.4		2.0		1.7		2.3		2.1		2.0		1.1		2.0		1.8		
P-value	<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0074		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		

^y Rootstocks ranked in alphabetical order.

^z Mean values with the same letter within a given column are not significantly different according to the Tukey-Kramer test at P=0.05.